Appendix A

Webpages:

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility webpage (forthcoming)

NOBC Global Resources Webpage, See https://www.nobc.org/index.php/jurisdiction-info/global-resources

Nova Scotia Barristers' Society, MSLEP Webpage, http://nsbs.org/management-systems-ethical-legal-practice-mselp

Colorado PMBR Subcommittee Webpage,

<u>http://www.coloradosupremecourt.us/AboutUs/PMBRMinutes.asp</u> (in addition to links to Colorado and U.S. materials, this webpage includes links to the relevant portals of all of the Canadian provinces)

Law review and other articles focusing on proactive regulation:

Laurel S. Terry, <u>The Power of Lawyer Regulators to Increase Client & Public Protection</u> <u>Through Adoption of a Proactive Regulation System</u>, 20 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 717 (2016) (traditional law review article about proactive regulation that includes a discussion of developments around the world through May 2016; the appendices include examples from the various lawyer self-assessment forms that have been developed)

Laurel S. Terry, <u>When it Comes to Lawyers, Is an Ounce of Prevention Worth a Pound of Cure?</u>, JOTWELL (July 13, 2016) (4 page blog post about proactive regulation and recent developments), http://tinyurl.com/Terry-proactive-Jot

Law review and other articles focusing on PMBR:

Susan Saab Fortney, *Designing and Improving a Systems of Proactive Management-Based Regulation to Help Lawyers and Protect the Public*, JOURNAL OF THE PROFESSIONAL LAWYER (2016) *available at* https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2812906

Susan Saab Fortney, *Promoting Public Protection through an "Attorney Integrity" System:* Lessons from the Australian Experience with Proactive Regulation System, 23 PROF. LAW. 16 (2015) available at https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2906525

Susan Saab Fortney, *The Role of Ethics Audits in Improving Management Systems and Practices: An Empirical Examination of Management-Based Regulation of Law*, 4 St. Mary's J. Legal Mal. & Ethics 112 (2014) *available at*

(https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2375219) (after examining study findings and recommendations related to the effects of the self-assessment process, the article examines how features of management-based regulation may be integrated into lawyer regulation in the U.S. and how regulators, insurers, and bar leaders can create incentives encouraging lawyers and firms to examine and improve their management systems and practice controls).

Susan Fortney & Tahlia Gordon, *Adopting Law Firm Management System to Survive and Thrive: A Study of the Australian Approach to Management-Based Regulation*, 10 U. St. Thomas L.J. 152 (2012), *available at* https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2205301 (examining the results of a an empirical study on PMBR in New South Wales and recommending an agenda for regulators, insurers, professional associations and researchers).

Susan Saab Fortney, *Preventing Legal Malpractice and Disciplinary Complaints: Ethics Audits as a Risk-Management Too*, BUSINESS LAW TODAY, March 2015 (ethics column).

Ted Schneyer, *The Case for Proactive Management-Based Regulation to Improve Professional Self-Regulation for U.S. Lawyers*, 42 HOFSTRA L. REV. 233 (2013).

Ted Schneyer, On Further Reflection: How "Professional Self-Regulation" Should Promote Compliance with Broad Ethical Duties of Law Firm Management, 53 ARIZ. L. REV. 577 (2011).

Law review and other articles with a broader focus:

Amy Salyzyn, From Colleague to Cop to Coach: Contemporary Regulation of Lawyer Competence, 94 Canadian Bar Review __ (2017) (forthcoming) (Over the last several decades, Canadian law societies have significantly expanded their regulatory reach in relation to the post-entry competence of lawyers. In this article, a novel framework is proposed to trace the path to this current state of affairs: specifically, four different "waves" or models are identified. It is argued that the current approach represents a positive material regulatory shift towards focusing on the public interest as opposed to lawyer interests, which had dominated historically. At the same time, issues of transparency, expertise and costs remain of concern. The Hybrid Model approach embodied in new entity-based regulatory initiatives now under consideration is identified as one way to address these concerns. However, both the process used to implement such a model and the model's ultimate content will be key determinants of its success in any given jurisdiction.)

Amy Salyzyn, What if We Didn't Wait? Canadian Law Societies and the Promotion of Effective Ethical Infrastructure in Canadian Legal Practices, 92 CAN. BAR. REV. 507 (2015). (This article explores whether and how law societies might become more active in promoting effective ethical infrastructures within Canadian law practices. The case presented in this article for expanded law society involvement in the ethical infrastructures of Canadian law practices is three-fold: (1) there are reasons to believe that these infrastructures could, as a general matter, be improved; (2) this improvement would, in turn, lead to improved outcomes in relation to lawyers' ethical duties; and (3) current law society regulatory efforts are not optimally situated to assist with this improvement. Stated otherwise, law societies should become more involved in the ethical infrastructures of Canadian law practices because neither the market nor current regulatory efforts are effectively addressing this important aspect of law practice.)

Laurel S. Terry, *Globalization and the ABA Commission on Ethics* 20/20: *Reflections on Missed Opportunities and the Road Not Taken*, 43 HOFSTRA L. REV. 95, 128, n. 142 (2014)(suggesting the idea of using Rule 5.1 to achieve PMBR even in the absence of entity regulation).

- Laurel S. Terry, <u>Why Your Jurisdiction Should Consider Jumping On The Regulatory Objectives Bandwagon</u>, 22(1) PROF. LAW. 28 (Dec. 2013). (This article is a 15 page version of the Terry/Mark/Gordon 2012 regulatory objectives article. It is targeted to state supreme courts and lawyer regulators in the United States.)
- Laurel S. Terry, Steve Mark, Tahlia Gordon, <u>Adopting Regulatory Objectives for the Legal</u> <u>Profession</u>, 80 FORDHAM L. REV. 2685 (2012). (This article provides a thorough treatment of regulatory objectives in a number of jurisdictions. It includes a discussion of the different methods by which lawyers are regulated (e.g., legislation, court rules, law society bylaws); legislative history, and an analysis and comparison of the regulatory objectives in a number of jurisdictions. The regulatory objectives from a number of jurisdictions are included as appendices.)
- Laurel S. Terry, <u>Trends in Global and Canadian Lawyer Regulation</u>, 76 SASKATCHEWAN L. REV. 145 (2013). (This article uses the "who-what-when-where-why-and-how" structure developed in the 2012 Terry/Mark/Gordon "Trends" article to analyze Canadian lawyer regulation developments.)
- Laurel S. Terry, Steve Mark, Tahlia Gordon, <u>Trends and Challenges in Lawyer Regulation: The Impact of Globalization and Technology</u>, 80 FORDHAM L. REV. 2661 (2012). (This "Trends" article uses a "who-what-when-where-why-and-how" structure as a means to discuss global lawyer regulation developments around the world. Although many jurisdictions combine these developments, it offers a means to analyze the issues separately and compare regulatory approaches in different countries.)

See also http://tinyurl.com/laurelterryslides (includes links to presentation slides, organized by topic) and http://works.bepress.com/laurel_terry/ (contains links to articles on a number of issues related to globalization and the legal profession, including foreign lawyer mobility provisions, a comparative analysis of UPL/lawyer monoply provisions in countries, interest in the legal profession by antitrust authorities, EU regulation of lawyers (the most recent analysis is found in the Bologna Process articles), trade agreements' application to legal services, FATF and "gatekeeper" issues, and transnational legal practice year-in-review articles, among other topics).

(1) Adam Dodek, "Regulating Law Firms in Canada" (2011) 90 CANADIAN BAR REVIEW 383 (arguing that Law Societies should regulate law firms. They should do so primarily on the basis of ensuring public confidence in self-regulation and respect for the Rule of Law and only secondarily out of concerns regarding public protection.)